

# EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A THREE-POINT INTEGRAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

FAOUZI HADDOUCHI, SLIMANE BENAICHA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, by using the Krasnosel'skii's fixed-point theorem, we study the existence of at least one or two positive solutions to the three-point integral boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(T) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds,$$

where  $0 < \eta < T$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$  are given constants.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the existence of positive solutions of the following three-point integral boundary value problem (BVP):

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(T) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $0 < \eta < T$  and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ , and

(B1)  $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ;

(B2)  $a \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$  and there exists  $t_0 \in [\eta, T]$  such that  $a(t_0) > 0$ .

Set

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}. \quad (1.3)$$

The study of the existence of solutions of multi-point boundary value problems for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated by II'in and Moiseev [6, 7]. Since then, by applying the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, or coincidence degree theory, many authors studied more general nonlinear multi-point BVPs, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 12, 13], and references therein.

Tariboon and Sitthiwirattam [14] proved the existence of at least one positive solution on the condition that  $f$  is either superlinear or sublinear for the following BVP

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds, \quad (1.5)$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34C25, 34B18.

*Key words and phrases.* Positive solutions; Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem; Three-point boundary value problems; Cone.

where  $0 < \eta < 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{\eta^2}$ ,  $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ,  $a \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$  and there exists  $t_0 \in [\eta, 1]$  such that  $a(t_0) > 0$ . Very recently, Haddouchi and Benaicha [5], investigated the following three-point BVP

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(T) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $0 < \eta < T$  and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ ,  $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ ,  $a \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$  and there exists  $t_0 \in [\eta, T]$  such that  $a(t_0) > 0$ , and improved the results in [14].

In [5], the authors used the Krasnoselskii's theorem to prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.1** (See [5]). *Assume (B1) and (B2) hold, and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ . If either*

(D1)  $f_0 = 0$  and  $f_\infty = \infty$  ( $f$  is superlinear), or

(D2)  $f_0 = \infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$  ( $f$  is sublinear)

*then problem (1.6),(1.7) has at least one positive solution.*

Liu [9] used the fixed-point index theorem to prove the existence of at least one or two positive solutions to the three-point boundary value problem BVP

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.8)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \beta u(\eta), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $0 < \eta < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{\eta}$ .

Motivated by the results of [9, 5] the aim of this paper is to establish some simple criterions for the existence of positive solutions of the BVP (1.1),(1.2), under  $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$  or  $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$ . We also obtain some existence results for positive solutions of the BVP (1.1),(1.2) under  $f_0, f_\infty \notin \{0, \infty\}$ .

The key tool in our approach is the following Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem in a cone [8].

**Theorem 1.2** ([8]). *Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and let  $K \subset E$  be a cone. Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open bounded subsets of  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let*

$$A : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \longrightarrow K$$

*be a completely continuous operator such that either*

(i)  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ; or

(ii)  $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , and  $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ ,  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$

*hold. Then  $A$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove the main existence results we will employ several straightforward lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** (See [5]). *Let  $\beta \neq \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ . Then for  $y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ , the problem*

$$u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \quad u(T) = \alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds \quad (2.2)$$

has a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - 2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)y(s)ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha\beta\eta - \alpha(\beta - 1)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds \\ & + \frac{2(\beta - 1)t - 2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds - \int_0^t (t - s)y(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2** (See [5]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ . If  $y \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$ , then the unique solution  $u$  of (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

**Remark 2.3.** *In view of Lemma 2.3 of [5], if  $\alpha > \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $y \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$ , then (2.1)-(2.2) has no positive solution. Hence, in this paper, we assume that  $\alpha\eta^2 < 2T$  and  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** (See [5]). *Let  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ . If  $y \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$ , then the unique solution  $u$  of (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies*

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|, \quad \|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\gamma := \min \left\{ \frac{\eta}{T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta + 1)\eta^2}{2T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta + 1)\eta(T - \eta)}{2T - \alpha(\beta + 1)\eta^2} \right\} \in (0, 1). \quad (2.4)$$

In the rest of this article, we assume that  $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ . Let  $E = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ , and only the sup norm is used. It is easy to see that the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has a solution  $u = u(t)$  if and only if  $u$  is a fixed point of operator  $A$ , where  $A$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) = & \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - 2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha\beta\eta - \alpha(\beta - 1)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{2(\beta - 1)t - 2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & - \int_0^t (t - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Denote

$$K = \left\{ u \in E : u \geq 0, \min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\| \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\gamma$  is defined in (2.4). It is obvious that  $K$  is a cone in  $E$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4,  $AK \subset K$ . It is also easy to check that  $A : K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

In what follows, for the sake of convenience, set

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)}{[2(\beta + 1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta + 2) + \alpha\beta T] \int_0^T T(T - s)a(s)ds},$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)}{2\gamma\eta \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)ds}.$$

3. THE EXISTENCE RESULTS OF THE BVP (1.1),(1.2) FOR THE CASE:

$$f_0 = f_{\infty} = \infty \text{ OR } f_0 = f_{\infty} = 0$$

Now we establish conditions for the existence of positive solutions for the BVP (1.1),(1.2) under  $f_0 = f_{\infty} = \infty$  or  $f_0 = f_{\infty} = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that the following assumptions are satisfied.*

(H1)  $f_0 = f_{\infty} = \infty$ .

(H2) *There exist constants  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $M_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$  such that  $f(u) \leq M_1\rho_1$ , for  $u \in [0, \rho_1]$ .*

*Then, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* Since,  $f_0 = \infty$ , then for any  $M_{\star} \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$ , there exists  $\rho_{\star} \in (0, \rho_1)$  such that  $f(u) \geq M_{\star}u$ ,  $0 < u \leq \rho_{\star}$ .

Set  $\Omega_{\rho_{\star}} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_{\star}\}$ . By (2.5) and in view of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [5], for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_{\star}}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Au(\eta) &= \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^2 - 2\eta s + s^2)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad - \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &= \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2(T-\eta)}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} sa(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} s(\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \rho_{\star}\gamma M_{\star} \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho_{\star} M_{\star} \Lambda_2^{-1} \\ &\geq \rho_{\star} = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \text{ for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_{\star}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, since  $f_{\infty} = \infty$ , then for any  $M^{\star} \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$ , there exists  $\rho^{\star} > \rho_1$  such that  $f(u) \geq M^{\star}u$ , for  $u \geq \gamma\rho^{\star}$ .

Set  $\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho^*\}$ . Then, for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Au(\eta) &\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \rho^* \gamma M^* \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho^* M^* \Lambda_2^{-1} \\ &\geq \rho^* = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}. \quad (3.2)$$

Finally, set  $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_1\}$ . From (H2), (2.5) and the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [5], for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2\beta T + \alpha\beta\eta^2}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2\beta\eta + 2T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{2T(\beta+1) + \beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &= \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq M_1 \rho_1 \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho_1 M_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \leq \rho_1 = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Which yields

$$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence, since  $\rho_* < \rho_1 < \rho^*$  and from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $A$  has a fixed point  $u_1$  in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1} \setminus \Omega_{\rho_*})$  and a fixed point  $u_2$  in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho^*} \setminus \Omega_{\rho_1})$ . Both are positive solutions of the BVP (1.1),(1.2) and  $0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|$ . The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume that the following assumptions are satisfied.*

(H3)  $f_0 = f_{\infty} = 0$ .

(H4) *There exist constants  $\rho_2 > 0$  and  $M_2 \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$  such that  $f(u) \geq M_2 \rho_2$ , for  $u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2]$ .*

*Then, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, since  $f_0 = 0$ , for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ , there exists  $\rho_\star \in (0, \rho_2)$  such that  $f(u) \leq \epsilon u$ , for  $u \in (0, \rho_\star]$ . Let  $\Omega_{\rho_\star} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_\star\}$ , then, for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_\star}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \rho_\star \epsilon \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho_\star \epsilon \Lambda_1^{-1} \leq \rho_\star = \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_\star}. \quad (3.4)$$

Secondly, in view of  $f_\infty = 0$ , for any  $\epsilon_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ , there exists  $\rho_0 > \rho_2$  such that

$$f(u) \leq \epsilon_1 u, \quad \text{for } u \in [\rho_0, \infty). \quad (3.5)$$

We consider two cases:

Case (i). Suppose that  $f(u)$  is unbounded. Then from  $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ , we know that there is  $\rho^\star > \rho_0$  such that

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho^\star), \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \rho^\star]. \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $\rho^\star > \rho_0$ , then from (3.5), (3.6), one has

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho^\star) \leq \epsilon_1 \rho^\star, \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \rho^\star]. \quad (3.7)$$

For  $u \in K$  and  $\|u\| = \rho^\star$ , from (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \rho^\star \epsilon_1 \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho^\star \epsilon_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \leq \rho^\star = \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii). Suppose that  $f(u)$  is bounded, say  $f(u) \leq L$  for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ . Taking  $\rho^\star \geq \max\left\{\frac{L}{\epsilon_1}, \rho_0\right\}$ . For  $u \in K$  with  $\|u\| = \rho^\star$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq L \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ &\leq \rho^\star \epsilon_1 \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ &= \rho^\star \epsilon_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \leq \rho^\star = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in either case, we always may set  $\Omega_{\rho^\star} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho^\star\}$  such that

$$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho^\star}. \quad (3.8)$$

Finally, set  $\Omega_{\rho_2} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_2\}$ . By (H4), for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
Au(\eta) &\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
&\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)M_2\rho_2 ds \\
&\geq \rho_2 \frac{2\eta M_2\gamma}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)ds \\
&= \rho_2 M_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \\
&\geq \rho_2 = \|u\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, since  $\rho_* < \rho_2 < \rho^*$  and from (3.4), (3.8) and (3.9), it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $A$  has a fixed point  $u_1$  in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_2} \setminus \Omega_{\rho_*})$  and a fixed point  $u_2$  in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho^*} \setminus \Omega_{\rho_2})$ . Both are positive solutions of the BVP (1.1),(1.2) and  $0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|$ . The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

#### 4. THE EXISTENCE RESULTS OF THE BVP (1.1),(1.2) FOR THE CASE: $f_0, f_{\infty} \notin \{0, \infty\}$

In this section, we discuss the existence for the positive solution of the BVP (1.1),(1.2) assuming  $f_0, f_{\infty} \notin \{0, \infty\}$ .

Now, we shall state and prove the following main result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose (H2) and (H4) hold and that  $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ . Then, the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has at least one positive solution  $u$  satisfying  $\rho_1 < \|u\| < \rho_2$  or  $\rho_2 < \|u\| < \rho_1$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ .

Let  $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_1\}$ . By (H2), for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Au(t) &\leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
&\leq M_1\rho_1 \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \\
&= \rho_1 M_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \leq \rho_1 = \|u\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, set  $\Omega_{\rho_2} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \rho_2\}$ . By (H4), for any  $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
Au(\eta) &\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
&\geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)M_2\rho_2 ds \\
&\geq \rho_2 \frac{2\eta M_2\gamma}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T-s)a(s)ds \\
&= \rho_2 M_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \\
&\geq \rho_2 = \|u\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \text{ for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, since  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  and from (4.1) and (4.2), it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $A$  has a fixed point  $u$  in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_2} \setminus \Omega_{\rho_1})$ . Moreover, it is a positive solution of the BVP (1.1),(1.2) and

$$\rho_1 < \|u\| < \rho_2.$$

The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Assume that the following assumptions hold.*

(H5)  $f_0 = \alpha_1 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1)$ , where  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ .

(H6)  $f_{\infty} = \beta_1 \in \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2, \infty\right)$ , where  $\theta_2 \geq 1$ .

*Then, the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has at least one positive solution.*

*Proof.* In view of  $f_0 = \alpha_1 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1)$ , for  $\epsilon = \theta_1 \Lambda_1 - \alpha_1 > 0$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that

$$f(u) \leq (\alpha_1 + \epsilon)u = \theta_1 \Lambda_1 u \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1 \rho_1, \text{ for } u \in (0, \rho_1].$$

Since  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\theta_1 \Lambda_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ . By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied. Since  $f_{\infty} = \beta_1 \in \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2, \infty\right)$ , for  $\epsilon = \beta_1 - \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2 > 0$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\rho_2 (> \rho_1)$  such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \beta_1 - \epsilon = \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2, \text{ for } u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \infty),$$

thus, when  $u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2]$ , one has

$$f(u) \geq \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2 u \geq \theta_2 \Lambda_2 \rho_2.$$

Since  $\theta_2 \geq 1$ ,  $\theta_2 \Lambda_2 \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$ , then from the above inequality, condition (H4) is satisfied. Hence, from Theorem 4.1, the desired result holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Assume that the following assumptions hold.*

(H7)  $f_0 = \alpha_2 \in \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2, \infty\right)$ , where  $\theta_2 \geq 1$ .

(H8)  $f_{\infty} = \beta_2 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1)$ , where  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ .

*Then, the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has at least one positive solution.*



*Proof.* Since  $f_0 = \alpha_2 \in \left(\frac{\theta_2}{\gamma}\Lambda_2, \infty\right)$ , for  $\epsilon = \alpha_2 - \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma}\Lambda_2 > 0$ , there exists a sufficiently small  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \alpha_2 - \epsilon = \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma}\Lambda_2, \text{ for } u \in (0, \rho_2].$$

Thus, when  $u \in [\gamma\rho_2, \rho_2]$ , one has

$$f(u) \geq \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma}\Lambda_2 u \geq \theta_2\Lambda_2\rho_2.$$

which yields the condition (H4) of Theorem 3.2.

In view of  $f_\infty = \beta_2 \in [0, \theta_1\Lambda_1)$ , for  $\epsilon = \theta_1\Lambda_1 - \beta_2 > 0$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\rho_0 (> \rho_2)$  such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \beta_2 + \epsilon = \theta_1\Lambda_1, \text{ for } u \in [\rho_0, \infty). \quad (4.3)$$

We consider the following two cases:

Case (i). Suppose that  $f(u)$  is unbounded. Then from  $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ , we know that there is  $\rho_1 > \rho_0$  such that

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho_1), \text{ for } u \in [0, \rho_1]. \quad (4.4)$$

Since  $\rho_1 > \rho_0$ , then from (4.3), (4.4), one has

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho_1) \leq \theta_1\Lambda_1\rho_1, \text{ for } u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Since  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\theta_1\Lambda_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ . By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied.

Case (ii). Suppose that  $f(u)$  is bounded, say

$$f(u) \leq L, \text{ for all } u \in [0, \infty) \quad (4.5)$$

In this case, taking sufficiently large  $\rho_1 > \frac{L}{\theta_1\Lambda_1}$ , then from (4.5), we know

$$f(u) \leq L \leq \theta_1\Lambda_1\rho_1, \text{ for } u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Since  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\theta_1\Lambda_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ . By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied. Hence, from Theorem 4.1, we get the conclusion of Corollary 4.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Assume that the previous hypotheses (H2), (H6) and (H7) hold. Then, the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* From (H6) and the proof of Corollary 4.2, we know that there exists a sufficiently large  $\rho_2 > \rho_1$ , such that

$$f(u) \geq \theta_2\Lambda_2\rho_2 = M_2\rho_2, \text{ for } u \in [\gamma\rho_2, \rho_2],$$

where  $M_2 = \theta_2\Lambda_2 \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$ .

In view of (H7) and the proof of Corollary 4.3, we see that there exists a sufficiently small  $\rho_2^* \in (0, \rho_1)$  such that

$$f(u) \geq \theta_2\Lambda_2\rho_2^* = M_2\rho_2^*, \text{ for } u \in [\gamma\rho_2^*, \rho_2^*],$$

where  $M_2 = \theta_2\Lambda_2 \in [\Lambda_2, \infty)$ .

Using this and (H2), we know by Theorem 4.1 that the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that

$$\rho_2^* < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\| < \rho_2.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *Assume that the previous hypotheses (H4), (H5) and (H8) hold. Then, the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|.$$

*Proof.* By (H5) and the proof of Corollary 4.2, we obtain that there exists sufficiently small  $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho_2)$  such that

$$f(u) \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1 \rho_1 = M_1 \rho_1, \quad \text{for } u \in (0, \rho_1],$$

where  $M_1 = \theta_1 \Lambda_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ .

In view of (H8) and the proof of Corollary 4.3, there exists a sufficiently large  $\rho_1^* > \rho_2$  such that

$$f(u) \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1 \rho_1^* = M_1 \rho_1^*, \quad \text{for } u \in [0, \rho_1^*],$$

where  $M_1 = \theta_1 \Lambda_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ .

Using this and (H4), we see by Theorem 4.1 that the BVP (1.1),(1.2) has two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  such that

$$\rho_1 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\| < \rho_1^*.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem at resonance, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA* **30** (6) (1997), 3227-3238.
- [2] W. Feng and J.R.L. Webb, Solvability of a m-point boundary value problem with nonlinear growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **212** (1997), 467-480.
- [3] C. P. Gupta; Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **168** (1992), 540-551.
- [4] C.P. Gupta, A sharper condition for solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **205** (1997), 586-597.
- [5] F. Haddouchi, S. Benaicha, Positive solutions of nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problems for second-order differential equations, preprint. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1844>
- [6] V. A. Il'in, E. I. Moiseev; Nonlocal boundary-value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects, *Differential Equations* **23** (7) (1987), 803-810.
- [7] V.A. Il'in, E.I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm Liouville operator, *Differential Equations* **23** (8) (1987), 979-987.
- [8] M. A. Krasnosel'skii; Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Noordhoof, Groningen, 1964.
- [9] B. Liu; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **44** (2002), 201-211.
- [10] S.A. Marano, A remark on a second order three-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **183** (1994), 581-522.
- [11] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second order three-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **212** (1997), 430-442.
- [12] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second order m-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **211** (1997), 545-555.
- [13] R. Ma, Positive solutions for a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns.* **34** (1999), 1-8.
- [14] J. Tariboon, T. Sitthiwiratham; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problem, *Bound. Val. Prob.* **2010** (2010), ID 519210, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/519210.

SLIMANE BENAICHA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORAN, ES-SENIA, 31000  
ORAN, ALGERIA

*E-mail address:* `slimanebenaicha@yahoo.fr`